Ionut G. Stan - OpenAgile 2010

- what
- why
- how

- what
- why
- how

• a programming style

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- math function: input completely determines the output



• programming: telling a computer what to do

programming: telling a computer what to do
imperative languages are leaky abstractions

• programming: telling a computer what to do

- imperative languages are leaky abstractions
- also called von Neumann languages

- programming: telling a computer what to do
- imperative languages are leaky abstractions
- also called von Neumann languages
- von Neumann architecture is about modifying the state of the computer

#### von Neumann architecture



- programming: telling a computer what to do
- imperative languages are leaky abstractions
- also called von Neumann languages
- von Neumann architecture is about modifying the state of the computer
- computation model in imperative languages reflects von Neumann architecture

- programming: telling a computer what to do
- imperative languages are leaky abstractions
- also called von Neumann languages
- von Neumann architecture is about modifying the state of the computer
- computation model in imperative languages reflects von Neumann architecture
- imperative programming: what and how to do

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak
- tell the computer what to do, not how

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak
- tell the computer what to do, not how
- mutations not allowed (no variables, just identifiers)

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak
- tell the computer what to do, not how
- mutations not allowed (no variables, just identifiers)

• no statements, just expressions (if/then/else is expression)

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak
- tell the computer what to do, not how
- mutations not allowed (no variables, just identifiers)
- no statements, just expressions (if/then/else is expression)
- functions are deterministic and side-effect free

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak
- tell the computer what to do, not how
- mutations not allowed (no variables, just identifiers)
- no statements, just expressions (if/then/else is expression)
- functions are deterministic and side-effect free
- functions are all we need to model computation

- a programming style
- conceptually derived from lambda calculus (1930s)
- not procedural programming
- functions as in mathematical functions
- trying to plug the abstraction leak
- tell the computer what to do, not how
- mutations not allowed (no variables, just identifiers)
- no statements, just expressions (if/then/else is expression)
- functions are deterministic and side-effect free
- functions are all we need to model computation
- execution order is not guaranteed

whatwhyhow





• heisenbugs



heisenbugsrace conditions

## Why FP

• easier to reason about programs

heisenbugs
race conditions
off by one errors

# Why FP

• easier to reason about programs

- heisenbugs
- race conditions
- off by one errors
- objects trashing another object's internal state



```
// does this program terminate?
var n = 0;
while (--n) {
    n++;
}
```



easier to reason about programs
easier to parallelize

# Why FP

- easier to reason about programs
- easier to parallelize
- program correctness proving

# Why FP

- easier to reason about programs
- easier to parallelize
- program correctness proving
- composability results in greater and easier reuse

#### Just for fun - reuse in OO languages

"You wanted a banana but what you got was a gorilla holding the banana and the entire jungle."

Joe Armstrong, creator of Erlang

# Jungle jungle = new Jungle(); Banana banana = jungle.getGorilla().getBanana();

# Why FP

- easier to reason about programs
- easier to parallelize
- program correctness proving
- composability results in greater and easier reuse
- try out new perspectives

- what
- why
- how

what

• why

how (even in imperative languages)
• avoid side-effects/mutation as much as possible

avoid side-effects/mutation as much as possible
at least keep them as private as possible in OO

avoid side-effects/mutation as much as possible
at least keep them as private as possible in OO
treat variables as immutable (constants/final)

- avoid side-effects/mutation as much as possible
- at least keep them as private as possible in OO
- treat variables as immutable (constants/final)
- return values (output) based on params (input) only

- avoid side-effects/mutation as much as possible
- at least keep them as private as possible in OO
- treat variables as immutable (constants/final)
- return values (output) based on params (input) only
- play with a functional language

# **Functional Programming**

- what
- why
- how
- example

# Example

```
// imperative JavaScript
var sum = function (list) {
    var length = list.length;
    var total = 0;
    while (length--) {
        total += list[length];
    return total;
};
```

# Example



# Example



"Conventional programming languages are growing ever more enormous, but not stronger. Inherent defects at the most basic level cause them to be both fat and weak: their primitive wordat-a-time style of programming inherited from their common ancestor -- the von Neumann computer, their close coupling of semantics to state transitions, their division of programming into a world of expressions and a world of statements, their inability to effectively use powerful combining forms for building new programs from existing ones, and their lack of useful mathematical properties for reasoning about programs."

John Backus, known for Fortran, Algol and BNF

# Thank You

igstan.ro | ionut.g.stan@gmail.com | @igstan

# Questions?

igstan.ro | ionut.g.stan@gmail.com | @igstan